## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ALFRED AVILES,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. C-11-301
	§	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	§	
	<b>§</b>	
Defendant.	§	

## ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION ON CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

On March 6, 2012, United States Magistrate Judge Brian L. Owsley issued his "Memorandum and Recommendation on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment" (D.E. 11). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 11), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's motion for 1/2

summary judgment (D.E. 9) is **DENIED** and the Defendant's motion for summary judgment (D.E. 6) is **GRANTED**. This action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

ORDERED this 30th day of March, 2012.

Nelva Gonzales Ramos United States District Judge